2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dswanson@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000 Facsimile: 213.229.7520 CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No. 492089; pro hac vice) crichman@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.955.8500 Facsimile: 202.467.0539 JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085 jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.393.8200 Facsimile: 415.393.8306	mark.perry@weil.com JOSHUA M. WESNESKI (D.C. Bar No. 1500231; pro hac vice) joshua.wesneski@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.682.7000 Facsimile: 202.857.0940 MORGAN D. MACBRIDE, SBN 301248 morgan.macbride@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Redwood Shores Pkwy, 4th Floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: 650.802.3044 Facsimile: 650.802.3100
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	
17	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	OAKLAND DIVISION	
20	EPIC GAMES, INC.	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH
21 22	Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v.	APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
23	APPLE INC.,	The Honorable Thomas S. Hixson
24	Defendant, Counterclaimant	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

CASE No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT ISO MOTION TO SEAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
LEGAL STANDARD1
DISCUSSION2
CONCLUSION3

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Paget	<u>(s)</u>
Cases	
Al Otro Lado, Inc. v. Wolf, 2020 WL 5422784 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2020)	2
In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig., 2018 WL 3067783 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2018)	, 2
Apple Inc. v. Rivos, Inc., 2024 WL 1204115 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2024)	1
DNA Genotek Inc. v. Spectrum Sols., L.L.C., 2023 WL 4335734 (S.D. Cal. May 10, 2023)	2
Ervine v. Warden, 214 F. Supp. 3d 917 (E.D. Cal. 2016)	2
Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006)1	, 2
Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC, 2020 WL 2322993 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2020)	3
Lamartina v. VMware, Inc., 2024 WL 3049450 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2024)	2
Lee v. Great Am. Life Ins. Co., 2023 WL 8126850 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2023)	2
Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206 (9th Cir. 2002)	, 3
PQ Labs, Inc. v. Qi, 2014 WL 4617216 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014)	1
Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Innovacon, Inc., 2018 WL 1001097 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2018)	2
Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC, 2021 WL 1951250 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021)	, 2
UnifySCC v. Cody, 2023 WL 7170265 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2023)	2
Vineyard House, LLC v. Constellation Brands U.S. Ops., Inc., 619 F. Supp. 3d 970 (N.D. Cal. 2021)	2

1	Williams v. Apple Inc., 2021 WL 2476916 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2021)
2	Other Authorities
3	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)
4	Local Rule 79-5
5	Local Rule // S
6	
7	
8 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CASE No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

APPLE INC.'S STATEMENT ISO MOTION TO SEAL iii

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and Local Rule 79-5, Apple Inc. ("Apple") submits this statement in support of Epic Games, Inc.'s Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 (Dkt. 1481) ("Epic's Motion"). Apple respectfully requests that the Court partially seal Exhibit A to Epic's Motion because it contains information sealable under controlling law and Local Rule 79-5. Exhibit A contains personally identifiable information in the form of email addresses and phone numbers of Apple employees.

Apple accordingly moves to seal portions of Exhibit A containing sealable information. Apple's proposed reductions of Exhibit A are indicated in the reducted version filed with this statement and itemized in the concurrently filed Declaration of Mark A. Perry (the "Perry Declaration").

LEGAL STANDARD

"The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense," including preventing the disclosure of information. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). The Court has "broad latitude" "to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research, development, or confidential information." Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th Cir. 2002) (emphasis in original); see also Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006) (compelling circumstances exist to seal potential release of trade secrets) (citing Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)); PQ Labs, Inc. v. Qi, 2014 WL 4617216, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 15, 2014) (granting multiple motions to seal where publication would lead to the disclosure of trade secrets); Apple Inc. v. Rivos, Inc., 2024 WL 1204115, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 21, 2024) (granting request to seal "internal product codenames" and noting that a prior request for the same had also been granted). Courts often find good cause exists to seal personally identifiable information. See, e.g., Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC, 2021 WL1951250, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2021) (granting motion to file under seal personally identifiable information, including email addresses and telephone numbers of current and former employees).

Although a party must show compelling circumstances to seal information appended to dispositive motions, the standard for non-dispositive motions is simply "good cause." *In re Anthem, Inc.*

Data Breach Litig., 2018 WL 3067783, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 16, 2018); Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP v. Innovacon, Inc., 2018 WL 1001097, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2018); see DNA Genotek Inc. v. Spectrum Sols., L.L.C., 2023 WL 4335734, at *2 (S.D. Cal. May 10, 2023). In general, requests to seal information should be narrowly tailored "to remove from public view only the material that is protected." Ervine v. Warden, 214 F. Supp. 3d 917, 919 (E.D. Cal. 2016); Vineyard House, LLC v. Constellation Brands U.S. Ops., Inc., 619 F. Supp. 3d 970, 972 n.2 (N.D. Cal. 2021) (Gonzalez Rogers, J.) (granting a motion to seal "because the request is narrowly tailored and only includes confidential information").

DISCUSSION

Apple seeks to seal personally identifiable information in the exhibit to Epic's Motion. *See* Perry Decl. ¶ 5.

Epic's administrative motion to seal is subject to the "good cause" standard because it concerns non-dispositive objections related to discovery. *See*, *e.g.*, *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179 ("[T]he public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because those documents are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action."); *Lee v. Great Am. Life Ins. Co.*, 2023 WL 8126850, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2023) ("Matters concerning discovery generally are considered nondispositive of the litigation" (citation omitted)); *see also In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.*, 2018 WL 3067783, at *2; *Rembrandt Diagnostics, LP*, 2018 WL1001097, at *1; *Al Otro Lado, Inc. v. Wolf*, 2020 WL 5422784, at *4 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2020).

Apple's sealing request meets the good cause standard here. *Lamartina v. VMware, Inc.*, 2024 WL 3049450, at *2 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2024) (good cause to seal internal email communications). Apple operates in an intensely competitive environment, and thus has taken extensive measures to protect the confidentiality of its information. *See* Perry Decl. ¶ 3. Courts in this district have found not only good cause, but compelling reasons exist to seal personally identifiable information, like that found in Exhibit A. *See Snapkeys*, 2021 WL 1951250, at *3 (granting motion to file under seal personally identifiable information, including email addresses and telephone numbers of current and former employees); *see also UnifySCC v. Cody*, 2023 WL 7170265, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2023) (finding compelling reasons to seal personally identifying information of employees, including names, addresses, phone numbers, and email addresses).

Apple has narrowly tailored its sealing request to include only the information necessary to protect its and third-party personally identifiable information. *See Krommenhock v. Post Foods, LLC*, 2020 WL 2322993, at *3 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2020) (granting motion to seal "limited" information); *see also Phillips*, 307 F.3d at 1211; *Williams v. Apple Inc.*, 2021 WL 2476916, at *2–3 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2021) (noting Apple's narrowed sealing requests with "tailored redactions"); Dkt. No. 643 at 3 (finding Apple's proposed redactions appropriate for an exhibit when redactions were "narrowly tailored" to "sensitive and confidential information"). Apple has only partially redacted limited information in the exhibit. *See* Perry Decl. ¶ 5.

For the foregoing reasons, there is good cause that warrants partially sealing Exhibit A to Epic's Motion.

CONCLUSION

Apple respectfully requests that the Court seal the information identified in the accompanying declaration.

Dated: April 29, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Mark A. Perry</u> Mark A. Perry WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

Attorney for Apple Inc.